



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/542,209

07/14/2005

Kengo Nagata

6268-004/NP

5504

27572 7590 08/12/2010  
HARNESSE, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. BOX 828  
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303

EXAMINER

ADHAMI, MOHAMMAD SAJJID

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2471

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/12/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/542,209	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAGATA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MOHAMMAD S. ADHAMI	<b>Art Unit</b> 2471	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 May 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-27 and 29-64 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-6,8-18,21-26,29-32,34-44 and 47-64 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,7,19,20,27,33,45,46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- Applicant's RCE filed 5/17/2010 is acknowledged.
- Claims 1 and 27 have been amended.
- Claims 2 and 28 are cancelled.
- Claims 3-6,8-18,21-26,29-32,34-44, and 47-64 are withdrawn.
- Claims 1,3-27, and 29-64 are pending.

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/14/2010 has been entered.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1,7,19,20,27,33,45, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to

Art Unit: 2471

reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

As amended, claims 1 and 27 recite calculating a size ratio amongst the respective transmission rates, where the size ratio is a ratio between the respective transmission rates for each of the idle radio channels. After carefully examining the instant disclosure, the examiner respectfully submits that support for this amendment is lacking and the addition of said limitation is new matter. The specification discloses calculating the total maximum data size, but there is no calculating a size ratio amongst the respective transmission rates.

Claims 7,19,20,33,45, and 46 are rejected because they depend from rejected claims.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US App. 2002/0163879) in view of Sugar (US App. 2007/0263657) and Lu (US App. 2003/0185241).

**Re claim 1:**

Li discloses *at least one STA* (Para.[0030] each subscriber measures the SINR of each subcarrier cluster and Para.[0054] Cluster ordering and rate prediction processing block is coupled to outputs of channel/interference estimation – where a subscriber is a STA).

Li further discloses *assessing the respective transmission rates of the plurality of data transmission paths to define a size ratio corresponding to the transmission rates* (Para.[0083] Because each subscriber's transmission rate for a particular cluster may differ, the amount of data that may be "loaded" into a single data segment will differ for each subscriber per each cluster. This amount of data that may be "loaded", or, in other words, that can "fit", into a cluster may be referred to as that cluster's size – where the variation of size based on the rate is a ratio and Para.[0005] In a multi-carrier communication system, each subscriber may be allocated multiple carriers and can use the multiple carriers simultaneously).

Li further discloses *obtaining from a transmission buffer associated with one of the STA's at least one data frame to be transmitted* (Para.[0083] Each one of cluster data queues stores data segments that are to be transmitted through a cluster associated with that cluster data queue).

Li further discloses *fragmenting a data part extracted from a data field of the one data frame to be transmitted by applying the size ratio* (Para.[0083] Each cluster may be divided into data segments and Para.[0084] Media access

Art Unit: 2471

controller segments the user data packets from user data queues into data segments, each data segment having the correct size based on that cluster's transmission rate).

Li further discloses *generating X data blocks such that each data block requires same amount of time for transmission from one STA to another STA* (Para.[0083] This amount of data that may be "loaded", or, in other words, that can "fit", into a cluster may be referred to as that cluster's size. Each data segment fits into one cluster over one-frame duration (e.g.10ms). The data segments are time-aligned – where the frame duration is a same amount of time).

Li further discloses *generating X data packets by adding to each data block, a header field containing control information* (Para.[0124] different packet header patterns are used to distinguish the data packets).

Li further discloses *transmitting the X data packets simultaneously over the plural data transmission paths* (Para.[0005] In a multi-carrier communication system, each subscriber may be allocated multiple carriers and can use the multiple carriers simultaneously and Para.[0019] The methods directly apply to much more generic multi-carrier system, a multi-input multi-output (MIMO) - where in MIMO packets are transmitted simultaneously over plural data transmission paths).

Li does not explicitly disclose *calculating a size ratio amongst the respective transmission rates, where the size ratio is a ratio between the respective transmission rates for each channel.*

Sugar discloses *calculating a size ratio amongst the respective transmission rates, where the size ratio is a ratio between the respective transmission rates for each channel* (Para.[0015]  $M$ =ratio of highest data rate to lowest data rate and Para.[0058] the AP determines whether the fastest user in the network has changed, or determines whether the ratio (fast to slow) of data users in the network has changed sufficiently to justify a change to the maximum packet length or contention window).

Li and Sugar are analogous because they both pertain to data communications.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Li to include calculating a size ratio amongst transmission rates as taught by Sugar in order to adjust the packet length for optimum transmission efficiency.

Li does not explicitly disclose *control information that includes destination information and an FCS field containing an error checking code.*

Lu discloses *control information that includes destination information and an FCS field containing an error checking code* (Fig.8 ref.156 has destination information and ref.170 is a FCS field containing error checking code and Para.[0038] The four address fields are generally used to indicate the

Art Unit: 2471

destination address and Para.[0037] the FCS enables error detection and Para.[0010] With MIMO, the bit stream can be broken into two parts and the parts can then be transmitted simultaneously via the four communication links).

Li and Lu are analogous because they both pertain to data communications.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Li to include control information including destination information and an FCS field as taught by Lu in order to properly route data and to check the data for errors.

**Re claim 27:**

Li discloses *at least one STA* (Para.[0030] each subscriber measures the SINR of each subcarrier cluster and Para.[0054] Cluster ordering and rate prediction processing block is coupled to outputs of channel/interference estimation – where a subscriber is a STA).

Li further discloses *a first unit to assess the respective transmission rates of the plurality of data transmission paths to define a size ratio corresponding to the transmission rates* (Para.[0083] Because each subscriber's transmission rate for a particular cluster may differ, the amount of data that may be "loaded" into a single data segment will differ for each subscriber per each cluster. This amount of data that may be "loaded", or, in other words, that can "fit", into a cluster may be referred to as that cluster's size – where the variation of size based on the rate is a ratio and Para.[0005] In a multi-carrier communication system, each



subscriber may be allocated multiple carriers and can use the multiple carriers simultaneously).

Li further discloses *a transmission buffer associated a STA configured to store at least one data frame to be transmitted* (Para.[0083] Each one of cluster data queues stores data segments that are to be transmitted through a cluster associated with that cluster data queue).

Li further discloses *a second unit generating X data blocks that have data fields equal to or smaller than Dmax and that have a same packet time length by fragmenting a data part extracted from a data field of the data frame to be transmitted by applying the size ratio such that each data block requires same amount of time for transmission from one STA to another STA* (Para.[0083] Each cluster may be divided into data segments. This amount of data that may be "loaded", or, in other words, that can "fit", into a cluster may be referred to as that cluster's size. Each data segment fits into one cluster over one-frame duration (e.g.10ms). The data segments are time-aligned and Para.[0084] Media access controller segments the user data packets from user data queues into data segments, each data segment having the correct size based on that cluster's transmission rate – where the cluster size is Dmax and one-frame duration is a same amount of time for transmission).

Li further discloses *a third unit generating X data packets by adding to each data block, a header field containing control information* (Para.[0124] different packet header patterns are used to distinguish the data packets).

Li further discloses *a fourth unit that transmits the X data packets simultaneously over the plural data transmission paths* (Para.[0005] In a multi-carrier communication system, each subscriber may be allocated multiple carriers and can use the multiple carriers simultaneously. And Para.[0019] The methods directly apply to much more generic multi-carrier system, a multi-input multi-output (MIMO) - where in MIMO packets are transmitted simultaneously over plural data transmission paths).

Li does not explicitly disclose *calculating a size ratio amongst the respective transmission rates, where the size ratio is a ratio between the respective transmission rates for each of the channels*.

Sugar discloses *calculating a size ratio amongst the respective transmission rates, where the size ratio is a ratio between the respective transmission rates for each of the channels* (Para.[0015]  $M$ =ratio of highest data rate to lowest data rate and Para.[0058] the AP determines whether the fastest user in the network has changed, or determines whether the ratio (fast to slow) of data users in the network has changed sufficiently to justify a change to the maximum packet length or contention window).

Li and Sugar are analogous because they both pertain to data communications.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Li to include calculating a size ratio amongst transmission

rates as taught by Sugar in order to adjust the packet length for optimum transmission efficiency.

Li does not explicitly disclose *control information that includes destination information and an FCS field containing an error checking code*.

Lu discloses *control information that includes destination information and an FCS field containing an error checking code* (Fig.8 ref.156 has destination information and ref.170 is a FCS field containing error checking code and Para.[0038] The four address fields are generally used to indicate the destination address and Para.[0037] the FCS enables error detection and Para.[0010] With MIMO, the bit stream can be broken into two parts and the parts can then be transmitted simultaneously via the four communication links).

Li and Lu are analogous because they both pertain to data communications.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Li to include control information including destination information and an FCS field as taught by Lu in order to properly route data and to check the data for errors.

3. Claims 7 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Sugar and Lu as applied to claims 1 and 21 above, and further in view of Kadous (US App.2005/0008092).

**Re claim 7:**

As discussed above, Li meets all the limitations of the parent claim.

Li does not explicitly disclose *setting the transmission rates of the respective transmission media to a same rate as a smallest rate of the transmission rates when independently setting the transmission rates of the respective transmission media for transmitting the X data packets simultaneously is possible.*

Kadous discloses *setting the transmission rates of the respective transmission media to a same rate as a smallest rate of the transmission rates when independently setting the transmission rates of the respective transmission media for transmitting the X data packets simultaneously is possible* (Para.[0029]

The data rate, coding, and modulation for each data stream may be determined by controls provided by a controller and Para.[0123] the same data rate is used for all data streams

Li and Kadous are analogous because they both pertain to data communications.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Li to include independently setting the transmission rate and setting the rate to be the same as taught by Kadous in order to optimize the data rate based on channel conditions.

**Re claim 33:**

As discussed above, Li meets all the limitations of the parent claim.

Li does not explicitly disclose *a fifth unit that sets the transmission rates of the transmission media to a smallest rate of the transmission rates when*

*independent setting of the transmission rates of the transmission media for transmitting the X data packets simultaneously is possible.*

Kadous discloses *a fifth unit that sets the transmission rates of the transmission media to a smallest rate of the transmission rates when independent setting of the transmission rates of the transmission media for transmitting the X data packets simultaneously is possible* (Para.[0029] The data rate, coding, and modulation for each data stream may be determined by controls provided by a controller and Para.[0123] the same data rate is used for all data streams

Li and Kadous are analogous because they both pertain to data communications.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Li to include independently setting the transmission rate and setting the rate to be the same as taught by Kadous in order to optimize the data rate based on channel conditions.

4. Claims 19,20,45, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Sugar and Lu as applied to claims 1 and 27 above, and further in view of Terry (US 7,046,651).

**Re claims 19 and 45:**

As discussed above, Li meets all the limitations of the parent claim.

Li does not explicitly disclose *X data packets generated after the simultaneous transmission of the X data packets are transmitted continuously*

*without performing carrier sense, until a time corresponding to a transmission time of data packets generated from the one data frame before fragmentation passes.*

Terry further discloses *X data packets generated after the simultaneous transmission of the X data packets are transmitted continuously without performing carrier sense, until a time corresponding to a transmission time of data packets generated from the one data frame before fragmentation passes* (Col.11 lines 13-14 Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA)).

Li and Terry are analogous because they both pertain to data communication.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Li to include transmitting continuously without performing carrier sense, until a time corresponding to a transmission time of data packets generated from the one data frame before fragmentation passes as taught by Terry in order to avoid collisions during data transmission.

**Re claims 20 and 46:**

Li does not explicitly disclose *X data packets generated after the simultaneous transmission of the X data packets are transmitted consecutively X times without performing carrier sense.*

Terry further discloses *X data packets generated after the simultaneous transmission of the X data packets are transmitted consecutively X times without*

*performing carrier sense* (Col.11 lines 13-14 Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA)).

Li and Terry are analogous because they both pertain to data communication.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Li to include transmitting packets continuously without performing carrier sense as taught by Terry in order to avoid collisions during data transmission.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rinchiuso (US App. 2003/0012222) discloses calculating a ratio between transmission rates.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MOHAMMAD S. ADHAMI whose telephone number is (571)272-8615. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30.

Art Unit: 2471

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on (571)272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mohammad S Adhami/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2471

/Chi H Pham/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art  
Unit 2471